April 3, 1980

Dick Rankin
Executive Secretary
Clean Water Commission
P. O. Box 1368
Jefferson City, MO 65102

SITE: BIG PLVES MINE
ID : MOD981126899

BREAK: 1.3

OTHER: PENE

Dear Dick:

Enclosed is the first report from Pete concerning our work on the St. Francois County landfill at Desloge in a portion of the old St. Joe Minerals, Inc. tailings.

It is Pete's thought as well as Tom Dean's, after his inspection, that the landfill is not the cause or likely to be the cause, of leachate increase into Big River. However, Pete is most concerned about the quality of water from the well. Pete ran double samples to check his results so he is confident of his findings.

My thought is that routine monitering of the river with 6 month or perhaps yearly collection of samples might be in order. Similarly, an examination of the landfill should be done at the same time. I do suggest though that we consider the installation of a lysimeter or some other shallow monitering installation near or within the confines of the landfill. This would give us early warning of potential problems and perhaps greater confidence in the use of tailings for landfill disposal. I would suggest we consider doing this late summer-early fall when we may have more time here and the drilling equipment would be available. We should review this with Jim Doseberg to get his thoughts.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. J. Hadley Williams, Chief Engineering Geology Section

Geology & Land Survey

40275679 Superfund

Joseph P. Teasdale Governor Fred A. Lafser Director

Division of Geology and Land Survey Wallace B. Howe Director

## Department of Natural Resources - Division of Geology and Land Survey Geochemistry Lab

## Desloge Landfill and Big River Pollution Geochemical Study

## First Report

The location of the Desloge landfill site, in the southeastern part of the St. Joe Lead Company tailings pond in section 25, T37N R 4E, (an area formed by the northerly loop of the Big River in Francois County) and their combined influence on the waters of the Big River and associated streams and wells has been under investigation for some time. The present investigation relates, only to the Sanitary Landfill and its influence on the waters of the area.

Dr. Kovac, who carried out a preliminary investigation in this area, has strongly recommended that a thorough investigation into the possible contamination of the Big River, by the leachates from the landfill, be made. The Engineering Geology Section of the Division of Geology and Land Survey was requested to examine the validity of the recommendation.

The Geochemical Laboratory, therefore looked into the feasability of such an investigation and suggested a preliminary reconnaissance, followed by river, stream, well waters and leachate sampling in and around the Desloge Landfill site and analysis of the same. A reconnaissance was carried out by Tom Dean who stipulated locations, (map) where water samples were subsequently collected. Though inadequate, 100 ml samples were collected. (the Mobile Laboratory provided by DNR has facilites for collecting only 100 ml filtered samples).

Five samples at locations 2,3,4,5 and 6 were filtered at site

and pH and specific conductivities were measured. Two samples at locations 7 & 8 were not filtered as the Mobile laboratory had to leave for another assignment.

The samples were analysed for zinc, lead and cadmium at the Geochemical laboratory. The following are the concentrations of zinc, lead and cadmium.

		Specific Conductivity	، ، ح د	Zn	Pb	Cđ	
Sample #	Temp OC	microohms	PH	ppb	ppb	ppb	Remarks
2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 9 6	260 460 460	6.4 7.3 7.3	50 -548 -80	.∕30 <u>-48</u> .∕36	3 5 4	PHS - ok PHS - ok PHS - ok
5	10	1050	7.0	.380	106 43	10	See below
6	11	310	6.0 7	23	₹43	2	PHS - ok
7	NOT RECORDED			19	25	1	PHS - ok
8	NOT	RECORDED-	1	45	28	3	PHS - ok

The above results indicate that at the present time the concentrations of zinc, lead and cadmium in the waters of the Big River and a creek, running through a tunnel, are well below the limits prescribed by the U.S. Public Health Standards in locations 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8. The concentrations of the same metals in sample at location 3 are also below P.H.S. levels, however, the concentration of lead in this sample is very near mandatory limits of the P.H.S.

The water sample from well at location #5 has a zinc concentration of 380 ppb which is well below the P.H.S. Lead concentration, on the other hand, is 106 ppb which is twice the mandatory limit of the P.H.S. (50 ppb). Cadmium in the sample is 10 ppb and is just at the mandatory limit of the P.H.S.

This location (#5) (according to Mr. Tom Dean) may be tapped into a public drinking water source (mine). In view of the above, the water from this well cannot be considered to be POTABLE. Immediate steps may therefore be taken to carry out more tests and, if the above results are

confirmed, suitable remedial measures taken.

P. Pora Bala.

P. Doraibabu

Supervisor - Geochemistry Laboratory